

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,657	09/29/2004	Teruyuki Yatabe	029650-158	2288
21839 7590 02/07/2008 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAMINER	
			WITCZAK, CATHERINE	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
				•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•		•	02/07/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com debra.hawkins@bipc.com

	•					
	Application No.	Appliçant(s)				
•	10/509,657	YATABE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	CATHERINE N. WITCZAK	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Ct after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f statute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 July 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□						
•						
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	hdrawn from consideration. sted. bjected to.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority docur 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Applic priority documents have been rece ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No vived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	I Date al Patent Application				

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080204

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The first line of the

specification should include reference to any claims for foreign or domestic priority.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention.

The limitation "a plane which crosses said first ground facet perpendicularly thereto and

comprises a central axis of said needle tube is regarded as a central plane" does not claim the exact plane

which the Applicant is intending to refer to. Examiner suggests rewording this limitation as " a plane

which crosses said first ground facet perpendicularly thereto, is parallel to the central axis, and comprises

a central axis of said needle tube is regarded as a central plane" so as to more accurately define the plane

the Applicant is referring to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis

for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

W

Art Unit: 3767

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 11 13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

DeLuca (US 3,308,822).

De Luca discloses in Figure 4 an injection needle having a first ground facet 84 formed on a distal

end of a needle tube and at least two ground facets (12 and 13) subsequently formed to provide a needle

point, characterized in that said first ground facet is of a substantially elliptical shape; a plane which

crosses said first ground facet perpendicularly thereto and comprises a central axis of said needle tube is

regarded as a central plane; and the needle point is not present on said central plane, see more specifically

figures 4.

With regards to claims 2 and 10, wherein the minimum distance between said needle point and

said central plane is in the range from 3 to 20% of the maximum outside diameter of said first ground

facet in the direction of a minor axis thereof as seen in Figure 4.

With regards to claims 6 and 11, wherein the injection needle of De Luca would be fully capable

of piercing a silicone rubber sheet having a thickness of 0.5 mm at a penetration speed of 10 mm/min,

with an initial value of the load with respect to a penetration distance being 6 gf/mm or less due to it's

size, shape and ability to work in the environment and since it meets all the structural limitations of the

claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 7, 8, 12, 14-16 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,657

Art Unit: 3767

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of

the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion .

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing

date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to CATHERINE N. WITCZAK whose telephone number is (571)272-7179. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin

Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

 \mathcal{M}

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/509,657

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

www. 1/4/08

KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Theris C Sermons